



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,748	01/18/2001	Jonathan E. Lowthert	INTL-0511-US (P10480)	7988

21906 7590 12/27/2006
TROP PRUNER & HU, PC
1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631

EXAMINER

BUI, KIEU OANH T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2623

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	12/27/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/764,748	Applicant(s) LOWTHERT ET AL.	
	Examiner KIEU-OANH BUI	Art Unit 2623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 October 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 31-61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 31-61 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Remark

1. Claims 1-30 have been previously canceled; and claims 31-61 are pending for re-consideration.

Response to Pre-appeal

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-61 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 31-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srinivasan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,357,042 B2) in view of Belknap et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,586,264).

(Please note the examiner considers and examines set of system claims 51-61 first as for the main concept of the present application; and claims 31-50 are being considered for its corresponding method and a computer medium for storing instructions thereafter).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claim 51, Srinivasan discloses a system (Fig. 12) comprising:

a receiver to receive content including an interruptible content portion and an advertisement, i.e., Figs. 1 for a set top receiver and/or receiver 119 of the set top (Fig. 12) for receiving content including an interruptible content (which content can be interrupted during play) and an advertisement (col. 6/lines 19-52 for a plurality of sources for providing contents to the set top box and the contents can be interrupted by other contents such as an advertisement, and col. 30/lines 53-62 for contents includes advertisements regarding as “interrupting contents”);

a cache, coupled to said receiver, to store said content and advertisement (Fig. 12, item 117 for a CPU containing a cache for storing content and advertisement (refer to col. 20/lines 54-65); and instructions that enable said receiver to associate an advertisement with said cache content and collect information to enable a credit to a content provider for an advertisement displayed in association with said content (col. 31/line 5-29 for ad insertions; col. 34/lines 1-23, more ads means more charges to advertisers and means more credit to providers).

an interface, in said receiver, to find a place to insert an advertisement in said portion while said portion is still stored in said cache, insert said advertisement in said portion, and output for display”, i.e., pipeline 129 regarding as an interface comprising buffers 131 and 133 and together with the software provided for controlling and finding the place to insert the advertisement in the cached content or the portion still stored in the cache by performing synchronization of stream data using time marker and frame numbers before combining and displaying to the user using the display module (Fig. 12 and col. 21/lines 27-67).

Srinivasn does not further address the content is cached in the cache and allow the user to select a particular content from the cache for playback as well as the interruption detection during caching and as for interrupting the playback and changing to another mode; however, this technique is taught by Belknap as Belknap teaches the content can be locally stored in the cache of a set top box (for a personal use for the user), and the user has a full control of retrieving, accessing, playing back the content from the stored cache at ease (refer to Figs. 8-9, and col. 11/lines 25-33; col. 12/lines 30-49; col. 19/line 57 to col. 20/line 31 for VCR-like controls; and col. 26/lines 6-34 for video streaming & caching addressed). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Srinivan's system with Belknap's teaching technique of video optimized media streamer with cache management as noted in order to provide the user how to access to the cache, interrupt the access of the particular content item based on the user's unique pattern of usage of the particular content item, and playback the content and detect the change from one mode to another anytime as taught by Belknap.

As for claim 52, Srinivasan discloses "wherein said system is a television receiver" (Fig. 12 for system 115 with television port at 119 as television receiver).

As for claims 53-55, Srinivasan further discloses a device that "wherein said cache stores instructions that enable the receiver to identify an advertisement that is proximate in time to the content", i.e., content and control information is collected, analyzed and determined based on user's preferences for effectively targeting viewers with appropriate programs (col. 31/line 15 to col. 32/line 40 for various of examples and situations for when and how the ad server inserts appropriate ads to target the viewer based on their profile during appropriate time slots and etc.);

Art Unit: 2623

and “to accrue credit to a content provider of content that is proximate to the advertisement and based on upon the amount of content selected for play on the receiver” (col. 34/lines 1-23, more ads means more charges to advertisers and means more credit to providers based on the amount and selection of content that is proximate to the advertisement, noted in col. 2/lines 50-62).

As for claims 56-57, Srinivasan teaches these feature as addressed in claim 55 above and further in col. 31/lines 15 to col. 32/line 11 as the ad insertion can be determined or predetermined based on numerous factors about what content was played with an advertisement, also means to identify an advertisement to associate with the content i.e., preference and/or profile and/or synchronization/matching contents, buying time slots etc., also noted in col. 2/lines 50-62 and col. 32/lines 41-56.

As for claim 58, Srinivasan further discloses wherein the receiver to insert the advertisement in the content in response to the detection of a pause in the playback of the content (col. 31/lines 15-29 for blank segments and the system can insert ads there; and in col. 32/lines 12-40 as the user pause the playback and initiates to order a new service or program or request an updated URL link, the system can insert the ad therein).

As for claim 59, Srinivasan further discloses “wherein said interface to allow limited access to said content” (col. 31/lines 58-64, if the user orders a particular VOD program, he/she is limited to the ordered program only).

As for claim 60, Srinivasan further discloses “wherein the receiver enables a variety of content to be selected for play at any time” (col. 33/line 58 to col. 34/line 38-67 for the user can add a wide range of content providers if he prefers and he can access for play at any time).

Art Unit: 2623

As for claim 61, this feature is met by Belknap since the broadcaster downloads the advertisement first (being completed) and then the user is allowed to access at a later time (col. 19/line 57 to col. 20/line 31 for VCR-like controls at later times; and col. 26/lines 6-34 for video streaming & caching addressed

As for claims 31-40 and 41-50, these claims (of the claimed system) for a corresponding method and corresponding computer medium for storing instructions are rejected for the reasons given in the scope of system claims 51-61 as disclosed in details above to avoid unnecessary repetitions, not limited to the cited paragraphs above but also to the entire disclosure and teaching of the Srinivasan and Belknap references.

Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to PTO New Central Fax number:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand deliveries must be made to Customer Service Window,

Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to "Krista" Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7291. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2623

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'K. Bui', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Kieu-Oanh Bui
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2623

KB
Dec. 22, 2006